

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

•	www.aspio.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,044	10/16/2003	Stephen Jay Pagac	0508.002	7484	
34282	34282 7590 10/05/2004 QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
QUARLES			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KHIEM M	
ONE SOUT	H CHURCH AVENUE		1 DT I DUT	D. DED MIN (DED	
SUITE 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TUCSON.	AZ 85701-1621		2839		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,044	PAGAC, STEPHEN JAY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Khiem Nguyen	2839
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: The recited term "faster" should be corrected to –fastener--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witt in view of Schulte.

Witt discloses a cover sleeve 11 and a method inherent for storing and shortening of electrical cord 17. Figures 2-5 disclose slits disposed along the front of the sleeve and an aperture disposed in the back of the sleeve. Witt does not clearly show or disclose that his cover is for receiving the plug 18 or that the cover sleeve 11 middle portion is resilent. However, Witt discloses that the end caps 12 of the sleeve 11 are resilient for passage of the electrical cord therethrough. Schulte discloses that it is old and well known to use a **cover sleeve for storing of the plug 22 and to construct the entire sleeve of resilient material. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to construct the entire cover sleeve 11 of Witt out of resilient material and

for storing of the electrical plug 18 in view of the teachings of Schulte. Since the plug 18 of Witt has to pass through the sleeve 11 it is easily adapted for storing the electrical plug and constructing the entire sleeve out of resilient material would allow for easier manipulation of the plug and cord of Witt through the sleeve.

3. Claims 2-4, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Lin.

Witt lacks to disclose the use of snap fasteners for securing his cover sleeve around the electrical plug. Lin discloses that it is well known to use snap fasteners 41 for securing enclosed electrical connectors. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide snap fasteners. For the cover sleeve of Witt. The use of snap fasteners would allow the sleeve 11 of Witt to be constructed for easier placement of the plug and cord into his sleeve cover.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-2096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,044

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khen Nguyen Khiem Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839 Page 4